

Study of Parton Density Function Uncertainties with LHAPDF and PYTHIA at LHC

Contribution to LHC / LC Study Group Working Document¹

DIMITRI BOURILKOV
bourilkov@mailaps.org

*University of Florida
Gainesville, FL, USA*

Abstract

The experimental errors in current and future hadron colliders are expected to decrease to a level that will challenge the uncertainties in the theoretical calculations. One important component in the prediction uncertainties comes from the Parton Density Functions of the (anti)proton. In this work we develop an interface from the Les Houches Accord Parton Density Functions (LHAPDF) package to the very popular Monte Carlo generator PYTHIA version 6.2. Then we proceed to estimate the PDF uncertainties for the production of Drell-Yan pairs from the Z pole to masses above 1 TeV and for Higgs bosons at LHC. The measurement of the electro-weak mixing angle at LHC as a particularly difficult case is studied.

Work presented at the LHC/LC Study Group (CERN 5 July 2002, 14 February and 9 May 2003)

1 LHAPDF Interface to PYTHIA 6.2

A hadron collider can be viewed as a wide band parton beam machine. To make predictions, the parton cross sections are folded with the parton density functions (PDF):

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d \text{ variable}}[pp \rightarrow X] \sim \sum_{ij} \left(f_{i/p}(x_1) f_{j/p}(x_2) + (i \leftrightarrow j) \right) \hat{\sigma} \quad (1)$$

$\hat{\sigma}$ - cross section for the partonic subprocess $ij \rightarrow X$

x_1, x_2 - parton momentum fractions,

$f_{i/p(\bar{p})}(x_i)$ - probability to find a parton i with momentum fraction x_i in the (anti)proton.

¹For further informations, see <http://www.ippp.dur.ac.uk/~georg/lhclc/> . For questions and comments, please contact Georg.Weiglein@durham.ac.uk .

A long standing problem when performing such calculations is what is the uncertainty of the results coming from our limited knowledge of the PDFs, even if the parton cross section $\hat{\sigma}$ is known very precisely. The constantly increasing computing power allows to address this problem in new ways.

The Les Houches Accord Parton Density Function interface was conceived at the Les Houches 2001 workshop in the PDF working group to enable the usage of Parton Density Functions with uncertainties in a uniform manner [1]. The LHAPDF code is designed to work with PDF sets. In this approach a “fit” to the data is no longer described by a single PDF, but by a PDF set consisting of many individual PDF members. Calculating an observable for all the PDF members (by repeating the Monte Carlo generation N times, consuming lots of CPU time), one can estimate the uncertainty on the observable coming from the limits in our PDF knowledge. The LHAPDF code was built with this in mind and manipulates PDF sets.

Some sets available in LHAPDF are:

- CTEQ6 [2] with 40 members: uses $2 \cdot N_{PDF}$ eigenvectors for twenty PDF parameters, selected to represent the PDF variation; to estimate an uncertainty corresponding to $\sim 90\%$ CL the following sum is constructed:

$$\Delta X = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{PDF}} [X_i(S_+) - X_i(S_-)]^2}$$

- MRST2001 [3] with 2 members
- Fermi2002 [4] with 100(1000) members: standard statistical procedures are used to estimate the standard deviation from the set
- two Q^2 evolution codes: EVLCTEQ v1 for CTEQ6 and QCDNUM v 16.12 for all other sets.

The interface developed by the author ² enables the use of modern PDFs, as provided by the Les Houches Accord Parton Density Functions (LHAPDF) package, from the very popular Monte Carlo generator PYTHIA [5] (version 6.2). The user can select for a given generation the PDF set and the member in the set with standard PYTHIA data cards. One member of a PDF set is fixed at initialization time and used throughout one run. The user can repeat the generation as many times as needed (or feasible!) in order to compute not only the central values (from the member representing the “best” fit in a set), but also the uncertainties of various observables (e.g. total or differential cross sections, forward-backward asymmetries etc.).

1.1 Consistency Checks

To check our implementation, we have computed some cross sections using different PDFs. The PYTHIA default is CTEQ5L. The same PDF is implemented in PDFLIB [6] from the CERN library. The cross sections for Drell-Yan pairs (e^+e^-) with PYTHIA 6.206, generating each time samples of 100000 events, are shown in Table 1.

²Available on request from bourilkov@mailaps.org.

Table 1: Cross sections for Drell-Yan pairs (e^+e^-) with PYTHIA 6.206. The errors shown are the statistical errors of the Monte-Carlo generation.

PDF set	Comment	xsec
$81 < M < 101 \text{ GeV}$		
CTEQ5L	PYTHIA internal	$1516 \pm 5 \text{ pb}$
CTEQ5L	PDFLIB	$1536 \pm 5 \text{ pb}$
CTEQ6	LHAPDF	$1564 \pm 5 \text{ pb}$
MRST2001	LHAPDF	$1591 \pm 5 \text{ pb}$
Fermi2002	LHAPDF	$1299 \pm 4 \text{ pb}$
$M > 1000 \text{ GeV}$		
CTEQ5L	PYTHIA internal	$6.58 \pm 0.02 \text{ fb}$
CTEQ5L	PDFLIB	$6.68 \pm 0.02 \text{ fb}$
CTEQ6	LHAPDF	$6.76 \pm 0.02 \text{ fb}$
MRST2001	LHAPDF	$7.09 \pm 0.02 \text{ fb}$
Fermi2002	LHAPDF	$7.94 \pm 0.03 \text{ fb}$

We have performed the calculations at the Z pole and for very high masses above 1 TeV in order to check the PDFs in different x regions. The probed values are summarized in Table 2. Good agreement between the CTEQ6 and MRST2001 sets for a large Q^2 range is observed, taking into account that two different evolution codes are used. The results are close to the cross sections obtained with CTEQ5L. Even for the same PDF we observe a minor difference between the internal PYTHIA implementation and the PDFLIB one. Each PDF set has a corresponding “best fit” or preferred value for the strong coupling constant α_s and for the number of flavors. Our interface has not been optimized yet to give the best values for each set, and this will happen in the next release after detailed investigation. The necessary code is already foreseen in the current version and the values can be set by the user.

Table 2: x_1 and x_2 for different masses and rapidities.

y	0	2	4
$M = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$			
x_1	0.0065	0.0481	0.3557
x_2	0.0065	0.0009	0.0001
$M = 200 \text{ GeV}$			
x_1	0.0143	0.1056	0.7800
x_2	0.0143	0.0019	0.0003
$M = 1000 \text{ GeV}$			
x_1	0.0714	0.5278	-
x_2	0.0714	0.0097	-

2 PDF Uncertainties

As discussed above, the uncertainty on an observable is estimated by repeating the calculation many times using in turn all the members of a PDF set. As we are generating events with PYTHIA here, we have to be careful not to overestimate the PDF uncertainty. Namely, the observable under study will have a natural variation coming from the limited Monte-Carlo statistic. This complication is taken into account by:

- when possible generating large enough samples so that the MC error is an order of magnitude lower than the PDF contributions
- when this is not feasible, unfolding the MC contribution from the total variation to extract the “pure” PDF part; if the observed variation is equal to the expected from the Monte-Carlo statistic, this means that we cannot estimate the PDF uncertainty and can only give an upper limit - improving in such a situation entails generating huge samples.

2.1 Results at the Z Pole

We start with cross sections for Drell-Yan pairs (e^+e^-) with PYTHIA 6.206. This time a cut on the rapidity < 2.5 is applied, corresponding to the barrel and endcaps of a LHC detector. Samples of 1200000 events are generated for each member of a set. This process probes the (anti)quark PDFs.

Table 3: Cross sections for Drell-Yan pairs (e^+e^-) with PYTHIA 6.206. The errors shown are the PDF uncertainties.

PDF set	Comment	xsec [pb]	PDF uncertainty %
$81 < M < 101 \text{ GeV}$			
CTEQ6	LHAPDF	1065 ± 46	4.4
MRST2001	LHAPDF	$1091 \pm \dots$	
Fermi2002	LHAPDF	853 ± 18	2.2

Again a good agreement between the CTEQ6 and MRST2001 sets is observed. If we take into account that in the CTEQ6 approach the estimate corresponds to ~ 90 % CL, then at the one standard deviation level the PDF uncertainties are small (~ 2 – 3 %) and the two methods of estimation give close values. While the CTEQ6 and Fermi2002 sets agree on the uncertainties, the difference in their central values requires further study.

2.2 Higgs Production

The cross section for $gg \rightarrow H$ probes the gluon PDFs, so this channel is complementary to the case considered above. The CTEQ6 set is used. Samples of 100000 events are generated for each member of the set and for each value of the Higgs mass, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Cross sections for Higgs production in $gg \rightarrow H$ with PYTHIA 6.206. The errors shown are the PDF uncertainties.

Higgs mass [GeV]	xsec [pb]	PDF uncertainty %
120	16.26 ± 0.62	3.8
160	10.46 ± 0.32	3.1
200	7.41 ± 0.19	2.6

We can observe falling PDF uncertainties for higher Higgs masses. They are always below 4 %, and we should take them with a grain of salt, as for this process extrapolations are used for very low x , and the PDF uncertainties are not known so precisely there.

3 Determination of $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2)$ at LHC

As studied for the LHC SM workshop (see [7,8] and references therein), the huge statistics of lepton pairs from Z decays can open the possibility to perform a competitive measurement of the electroweak mixing angle $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2)$ at LHC, provided that we can control to the needed precision the proton PDFs. This question was left open, and the tools developed here allow to address it again.

For this end a very precise measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry at the Z pole is needed. For a ($x_1 \geq x_2$) pair of partons producing the Z we have 4 combinations of *up*- or *down*-type quarks initiating the interaction: $u\bar{u}, \bar{u}u, d\bar{d}, \bar{d}d$. In pp collisions the antiquarks come always from the sea and the quarks can have valence or sea origin. Going to higher rapidities increases the difference between x_1 and x_2 and hence the probability that the first quark is a valence one. This allows a measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry even for the symmetric initial pp state. At the TEVATRON the proton-antiproton configuration provides a natural label for the quark or anti-quark.

From this discussion it is clear that going to highest rapidities is helpful at LHC. A central detector with $|\eta| < 2.5$ has a much reduced sensitivity compared to the TEVATRON. A competitive measurement using $\sim 100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ at LHC starts at $\Delta\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2) = 0.0005$ per channel, or $\Delta\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2) = 0.00025$ for 2×2 channels (electrons and muons) and experiments. The best single measurement today from SLD [9] using the left-right asymmetry gives $\Delta\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2) = 0.00026$.

In the following we outline a possible strategy to do the measurement. Events are kept for analysis by applying the following cuts for both leptons:

- pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.5$
- transverse momentum $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$

which cover the barrel and endcaps of a typical LHC detector. The backgrounds for these final states are low and can be suppressed further by isolation cuts. The dependence of the asymmetry on the measured angle is given by:

$$\frac{\Delta(A_{FB})}{\Delta(\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2))} = k.$$

Not knowing the quark direction at LHC leads to values $k < 1$ i.e. reduced sensitivity. Samples of ~ 110 million events (after cuts) for different values of $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2)$ are generated and the results shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Asymmetry measurement at the Z pole at LHC. The coefficient k , the required error on A_{FB} for a measurement with precision $\Delta\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(M_Z^2) = 0.0005$ in one channel and one experiment, the upper limit on the PDF uncertainty and the number of events needed are shown for three rapidity intervals. In the last row the expected events in one channel for one experiment with luminosity 100 fb^{-1} and detection efficiency 100 % in the acceptance region are given.

Rapidity	0.0 - 0.8	0.8 - 1.6	1.6 - 2.4
k	-0.021	-0.38	-0.59
$\Delta(A_{\text{FB}})$	0.00001	0.00019	0.000295
PDF uncertainty	< 0.00048	< 0.00053	< 0.000820
Events needed	10000 M	27.7 M	11.5 M
Events in one ch/exp 100 fb^{-1}	30.7 M	25.0 M	10.5 M

We may perform two independent measurements in the rapidity intervals 0.8–1.6 and 1.6–2.4 in order to control the experimental systematics. From the table we see that $27.7(11.5) \times 10^6$ events in the two intervals are needed, which are available from one channel in one experiment for $\sim 110 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ if the detection efficiency in the acceptance region is 100 % (for lower efficiency the luminosity has to be rescaled accordingly). Clearly the statistical error is not a problem, and we have two independent measurements for each channel. From a big run with CTEQ6 - $40 \times 15 \times 10^6$ events, we have determined the upper limits at 90 % CL of the PDF uncertainties for different rapidity intervals, as shown in Table 5. Even with this statistics we have not reached a systematic show-stopper, so the question remains open: it is possible that the PDF uncertainty will not be the limiting factor, but runs with huge samples are needed to determine it reliably.

A Appendix

The interface is designed along the lines of the existing interface from PYTHIA to PDFLIB (see e.g. CERNLIB).

1. If the user is not interested in LHAPDF, standard PYTHIA can be used OR the file `pythia6206.f`

from the repository. This file has been modified as follows: two PYTHIA routines (PYINIT, PYPDFU) will perform additional actions if `MSTP(52)=3`. And two dummy routines LHALAUNCH and LHAPARD are added close to the end of the file. So if the user does not set `MSTP(52)=3`, nothing changes. And if the user just

happens to set `MSTP(52)=3`, the dummy routines will be called, they will issue an error message and stop the execution. For using LHAPDF please see 2).

2. If the user is interested in LHAPDF, the file

`pythia6206lha.f`

from the repository should be used. Compared to `pythia6206.f` the only change is that the two dummy routines `LHALAUNCH` and `LHAPARD` have been taken out. Now the user has to link in addition the library

`libLHAPDF.a`

which is built using the file `Makefile` (just give the command `make` at the UNIX prompt).

Some files from LHAPDF have been modified for technical reasons and to facilitate ease of use and transparency for the user. In the original LHAPDF code there are two evolution codes: `EVLCTEQ` used with the CTEQ PDFs, and `QCDNUM` for other PDFs. The user is expected to build the library corresponding to ONE of them and link it. So the choice of PDF cannot be done at runtime and to use the second option one has to rebuild the library and relink.

By modifying the code, I have simplified the use: the library is built only ONCE, and contains the two evolution codes. To use LHAPDF one sets `MSTP(52)=3`. The user can select the PDF set and the member of the set at runtime with `MSTP(51)`:

- CTEQ6 (file `cteq6.LHpdf`):
`MSTP(51) = 1000–1040` (1000 - best fit, 1001-1040 - PDF members)
- MRST2001 (file `MRST2001.LHpdf`):
`MSTP(51) = 2000–2002` (2000 - best fit, 2001-2002 - PDF members)
- MRST98 (file `MRST98.LHpdf`):
`MSTP(51) = 2100–2103` (2100 - best fit, 2101-2103 - PDF members)
- Fermi2002 (file `Fermi2002_100.LHpdf`):
`MSTP(51) = 3000–3100` (3000 - best fit, 3001-3100 - PDF members)
- Fermi2002 (file `Fermi2002_1000.LHpdf`):
`MSTP(51) = 4000–5000` (4000 - best fit, 4001-5000 - PDF members).

The PDF sets are stored for convenience in the subdir `PDFsets` (can be downloaded from the LHAPDF page). The routine `LHALAUNCH` is hardcoded to fetch them from this subdir - if the user decides to store them elsewhere, the code should be modified to reflect the new location.

Each PDF set has a corresponding “best fit” or preferred value for the strong coupling constant α_s and for the number of flavors. The interface has not been optimized yet to give the best values for each set, and this will happen in the next release after detailed investigation. The necessary code is already foreseen in the current version and the values are set in `LHALAUNCH`.

ENJOY!

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Albert De Roeck for encouraging this study, Walter Giele and Joey Huston for useful discussions. The large scale calculations are performed on the HEE computer farm at the University of Florida using the CONDOR scheduler [10], a component of the emerging grid middleware. In total 1200 million PYTHIA events are generated. This work is supported by the United States National Science Foundation under grants NSF ITR-0086044 and NSF PHY-0122557.

References

- [1] <http://vircol.fnal.gov/> .
- [2] J. Pumplin, D. R. Stump, J. Huston, H. L. Lai, P. Nadolsky and W. K. Tung, JHEP **0207** (2002) 012 [arXiv:hep-ph/0201195].
- [3] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, W. J. Stirling and R. S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. C **23** (2002) 73 [arXiv:hep-ph/0110215].
- [4] W. T. Giele, S. A. Keller and D. A. Kosower, arXiv:hep-ph/0104052.
- [5] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comp. Phys. Commun. **135** (2001) 238.
- [6] <http://consult.cern.ch/writeup/pdflib/> .
- [7] S. Haywood *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ph/0003275.
- [8] D. Bourilkov, CMS IN 2000/035, CERN, 2000.
- [9] K. Abe *et al.* [SLD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 5945 [arXiv:hep-ex/0004026].
- [10] <http://www.cs.wisc.edu/condor> .